# SALEM NUMBERS OF NEGATIVE TRACE 

C. J. SMYTH


#### Abstract

We prove that, for all $d \geq 4$, there are Salem numbers of degree $2 d$ and trace -1 , and that the number of such Salem numbers is $\gg d /(\log \log d)^{2}$. As a consequence, it follows that the number of totally positive algebraic integers of degree $d$ and trace $2 d-1$ is also $\gg d /(\log \log d)^{2}$.


## 1. Introduction

Recall that a Salem number is an algebraic integer $\tau>1$, of degree $\geqslant 4$, all of whose conjugates, apart from $\tau$ and $\tau^{-1}$, have modulus 1 . How small can the trace of a Salem number be? It is known that all Salem numbers of degree up to 18 have trace at least -1 (Proposition 6.1).

The aim of this paper is to study the set $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ of Salem numbers of degree $2 d$ and trace -1 . This set is tabulated in Table 1 for $2 d \leqslant 14$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ is finite for all $d$. In order to state our main result, we define the subset $\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ to be those Salem numbers $\tau_{d, m}$ with minimal polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d, m}(z)=\left(z^{2 d}\left(z^{2}-z-1\right)+z^{2(d-m)}+z^{2(m+1)}-z^{2}-z+1\right) /(z-1)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $m$ must be in the range $1 \leqslant m \leqslant\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$, and be such that $P_{d, m}$ is irreducible. Then we have

Theorem 1.1. For every $d \geqslant 4, \mathcal{S}_{d}$ is non-empty. Further, for $d \geqslant 5, \mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}$ is non-empty, and, for d sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}\right| \geqslant\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right|>\frac{0.1387 d}{(\log \log d)^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that certainly $\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.
In fact, it is likely that $\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}\right|$ grows at least exponentially with $d$.
The Salem number $\tau_{d, m}$ can in fact be associated with a particular tree, the three-armed star-like tree with $1,2 m$ and $2(d-m-1)$ edges on its arms, in a manner described in [MRS].

As a consequence of the theorem, we obtain a similar result for the set $\mathcal{A}_{d}$ of totally positive (i.e. all conjugates positive) algebraic integers of degree $d$ and trace $2 d-1$. We define the subset $\mathcal{A}_{d}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{d}$ to be those $\alpha_{d, m}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{d}$ with minimal
polynomial
(3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{d, m}(y)= & y^{d}-(2 d-1) y^{d-1} \\
& +\sum_{k=2}^{d-1}(-1)^{k} y^{d-k}\left\{\binom{2 d-k}{k}-\sum_{i=\max (0, k-m-1)}^{\min (d-m-2, k-2)}\binom{2 d-2 m-3-i}{i}\binom{2 m-k+1+i}{k-2-i}\right\} \\
& +(-1)^{d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, $m$ must satisfy $1 \leqslant m \leqslant\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$ and be such that $Q_{d, m}$ is irreducible. Then

Corollary 1.2. For every $d \geqslant 1, \mathcal{A}_{d}$ is non-empty. Also $\mathcal{A}_{d}^{\prime}$ is non-empty for $d \geqslant 5$ and, for $d$ sufficiently large,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{A}_{d}\right| \geqslant\left|\mathcal{A}_{d}^{\prime}\right|>\frac{0.1387 d}{(\log \log d)^{2}}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that certainly $\left|\mathcal{A}_{d}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.
The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are based on the following factorization of $P_{d, m}$ :
Theorem 1.3. For $d \geqslant 5$ and $1 \leqslant m \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor, P_{d, m}(z)$ factors as the product of the minimal polynomial of a Salem number $\tau_{d, m}$ and a (possibly trivial) cyclotomic polynomial, which is

$$
\begin{cases}C(z) C_{12}(z) & \text { if } d \equiv 3 \bmod 6 \text { and } m \equiv 1 \bmod 6 \\ C(z) C_{30}(z) & \text { if } d \equiv 4 \bmod 15 \text { and } m \equiv 1 \text { or } 2 \bmod 15 \\ C(z) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Here $C_{12}(z)=z^{4}-z^{2}+1, C_{30}(z)=P_{4,1}(z)=z^{8}+z^{7}-z^{5}-z^{4}-z^{3}+z+1$ and

$$
C(z)=\left(\frac{z^{g_{1}}-1}{z-1}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{z^{g_{2}}-1}{z-1}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{z^{g_{3}}-1}{z^{g_{4}}-1}\right)
$$

where $g_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}(d, 2 m+1), g_{2}=\operatorname{gcd}(2 d+1,2 m+3), g_{3}=\operatorname{gcd}(2 d+1, m)$ and $g_{4}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)(=1$ or 3$)$.

From the theorem one can readily read off the trace of $\tau_{d, m}$. It is equal to $-1+n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}$, where $n_{1}=1$ if $g_{1}>1$, and 0 otherwise, $n_{2}=1$ if $g_{2}>1$, and 0 otherwise, $n_{3}=1$ if $g_{3}>g_{4}$, and 0 otherwise, and $n_{4}=1$ if $d \equiv 4 \bmod 15$ and $m \equiv 1$ or $2 \bmod 15$, and 0 otherwise. In particular, $\tau_{d, m}$ has trace -1 iff it has degree $2 d$, i.e. iff $P_{d, m}$ is irreducible.

Of course, we are particularly interested in the pairs $d, m$ for which $P_{d, m}$ is irreducible:
Corollary 1.4. For $d \geqslant 5,1 \leqslant m \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{d-1}{2}\right\rfloor, P_{d, m}$ has the $n$th cyclotomic polynomial $C_{n}$ as a factor iff
(i) $n$ odd $\geqslant 3, d \equiv 0 \bmod n, m \equiv \frac{n-1}{2} \bmod n$ or
(ii) $n$ odd $\geqslant 3, d \equiv \frac{n-1}{2} \bmod n, m \equiv 0$ or $\frac{n-3}{2} \bmod n$ or
(iii) $n=12, d \equiv 3 \bmod 6, m \equiv 1 \bmod 6$
or
(iv) $n=30, d \equiv 4 \bmod 15, m \equiv 1$ or $2 \bmod 15$,
and in no other case. In particular, putting

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}_{d}=\left\{m: 1 \leqslant m \leqslant\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor, m \not \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \bmod p \text { for all odd primes } p \mid d,\right. \\
\left.m \neq 0 \text { or } \frac{q-3}{2} \bmod q \text { for all odd primes } q \mid 2 d+1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$P_{d, m}$ is irreducible iff

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m \in \mathcal{M}_{d} \text { if } d \not \equiv 4 \bmod 15, \\
m \in \mathcal{M}_{d} \cap\{m \not \equiv 1 \text { or } 2 \bmod 15\} \text { if } d \equiv 4 \bmod 15 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The polynomial $Q_{d, m}$ is defined by $Q_{d, m}(z+1 / z+2):=z^{-d} P_{d, m}(z)$. Its factorization can thus be written down from the factorization of $P_{d, m}$. In particular, $Q_{d, m}$ is irreducible iff $P_{d, m}$ is irreducible.

The polynomial $P_{d, m}(z)$ can also be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{2 d} & +z^{2 d-1}-z^{2 d-3}-2 z^{2 d-4}-\cdots-(2 m-2) z^{2 d-2 m} \\
& -(2 m-1)\left(z^{2 d-(2 m+1)}+z^{2 d-(2 m+2)}+\cdots+z^{2 m+2}+z^{2 m+1}\right) \\
& -(2 m-2) z^{2 m}-\cdots-2 z^{4}-z^{3}+z+1
\end{aligned}
$$

One way in which $P_{d, m}$ (or, equivalently, $\tau_{d, m}$ ) arises naturally is the following: the smallest limit point in the set of Pisot numbers is $\rho=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{5})$, which is a limit of Pisot numbers $\vartheta_{m}<\rho$ with minimal polynomial

$$
\left(z^{2 m}\left(z^{2}-z-1\right)+1\right) /(z-1) \quad(m \geqslant 1) .
$$

Then the standard construction ([Sa], [BDGPS]) proving that every Pisot number is a limit from below of Salem numbers shows that $\vartheta_{m}$ is a limit from below of the $\tau_{d, m}$, as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

The factorization of $P_{d, m}$ described here was first conjectured on the basis of computational evidence obtained for $d \leqslant 40$ using Maple.

## 2. Standard lemmas

Let $\omega_{n}=e^{2 \pi i / n}$. Then we need
Lemma 2.1. For all natural numbers $n$,
(a) $-\omega_{n}$ is a conjugate of $\omega_{n}$ iff $n$ is a multiple of 4;
(b) $-\omega_{n}^{2}$ is a conjugate of $\omega_{n}$ iff $n$ is divisible by 2 but not by 4 ;
(c) $\omega_{n}^{2}$ is a conjugate of $\omega_{n}$ iff $n$ is odd.

The proof is an easy exercise. We also need the standard estimates
Lemma 2.2. For $n \geqslant 3$

$$
\prod_{\substack{p \mid n \\ p \text { prime }}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)>\frac{1}{e^{\gamma} \log \log n+2.50637 / \log \log n}=: f(n),
$$

say, and for $n>26$

$$
\omega(n)<\frac{\log n}{\log \log n-1.1714}=: h(n)
$$

say. Here $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of $n$, and $\gamma$ is Euler's constant 0.577....

For the proofs, see [RS], p.72, and [Robin], respectively, or [MSC].
We also need a (presumably well-known) crude sieving estimate:
Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite set of pairwise relatively prime integers, all at least 2 , and for each $p$ in $\mathcal{D}$ let $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ be a set of $r_{p}<p$ residue classes $\bmod p$. Then the number $N$ of positive integers $m \leqslant M$ which are $\not \equiv x_{p} \bmod p$ for any $x_{p}$ in $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ and any $p \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfies

$$
\left|N-M \prod_{p \in \mathcal{D}}\left(1-\frac{r_{p}}{p}\right)\right| \leqslant \prod_{p \in \mathcal{D}}\left(1+r_{p}\right) .
$$

The proof is an easy application of the Principle of Inclusion and Exclusion and the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Alternatively, it is slight extension of the results of [HR], pp. 30-31.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We first need
Lemma 3.1. For $d \geqslant 5$ and $1 \leqslant m \leqslant\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$ the polynomial $P_{d, m}$ has a real root $\tau_{d, m}>1$. All other roots are on $|z|=1$ except for $\tau_{d, m}^{-1}$. For fixed $d \geqslant 5$ the $\tau_{d, m}(1 \leqslant m \leqslant\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor)$ are all distinct. For $d, m$ in this range, $P_{d, m}(1) \neq 0$.

Proof. Consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{d, m}(z):= & (z-1)^{2} P_{d, m}(z) \\
& =z^{2 d}\left(z^{2}-z-1\right)+z^{2(d-m)}+z^{2(m+1)}-z^{2}-z+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by a standard Rouché's Theorem argument to be found in [Sa], $R_{d, m}$ has at most one zero in $|z|>1$. Further, if $R_{d, m}^{\prime \prime}(1)<0$ then $R_{d, m}$ will have exactly one zero in $|z|>1$. Now

$$
R_{d, m}^{\prime \prime}(1)=2(4 m(m+1)+1-2(2 m-1) d)<0
$$

if

$$
d \geqslant\left\lceil\frac{4 m(m+1)+1}{2(2 m-1)}\right\rceil=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
5 \text { for } m=1,2,3 \\
m+2 \text { for } m \geqslant 4
\end{array}\right.
$$

This shows that $R_{d, m}$ has one root in $|z|>1$ for $1 \leqslant m \leqslant d-2(d \geqslant 5)$.
Now $P_{d, d-m-1}=P_{d, m}$, so that the $\tau_{d, m}$ can, for fixed $d$, be distinct only for $m \leqslant d-m-1$, i.e. $m \leqslant\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$. Indeed, for $1 \leqslant m^{\prime}<m \leqslant\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$ and $\tau:=\tau_{d, m}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{d, m^{\prime}}(\tau)=R_{d, m^{\prime}}(\tau)-R_{d, m}(\tau) & =\tau^{2\left(d-m^{\prime}\right)}+\tau^{2\left(m^{\prime}+1\right)}-\tau^{2(d-m)}-\tau^{2(m+1)} \\
& =\left(\tau^{2\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)}-1\right)\left(-\tau^{2\left(m^{\prime}+1\right)}+\tau^{2(d-m)}\right) \\
& >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the $\tau_{d, m}$ are distinct for $d$ fixed and $1 \leqslant m \leqslant\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$.
We now prove the theorem, or rather, Corollary 1.4, which is really an alternative formulation of Theorem 1.3.

We first write $R_{d, m}(z) / z=0$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{2 d}=\frac{u-z-1+\frac{1}{z}}{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{z}-1+z}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=z^{2 m+1}$. We assume that $z=\omega_{n}$ is a zero of $P_{d, m}$ and so of (5), and, in order to use Lemma 2.1, separate three cases:
(a) The case $4 \mid n$. Here $z=-\omega_{n}$ is also a root of (5), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{2 d}=\frac{u-z-1+\frac{1}{z}}{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{z}-1+z}=\frac{-u+z-1-\frac{1}{z}}{-\frac{1}{u}+\frac{1}{z}-1-z} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(z-\frac{1}{z}\right)=u-\frac{1}{u} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve (7), put $z=e^{2 \pi i / 4 k}$ say, with conjugates $z^{r}=e^{2 \pi i r / 4 k}$, where $(r, 4 k)=1$. Hence, applying the Galois element $z \mapsto z^{r}$, we get

$$
2\left(z^{r}-z^{-r}\right)=\left(u^{r}-u^{-r}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left|\sin \frac{\pi r}{2 k}\right|=\left|\sin \frac{\pi r(2 m+1)}{2 k}\right| \leqslant 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus there can be no $r$ with $(r, 2 k)=1$ and $\frac{k}{3}<r \leqslant k$. However, the examples $(r, k)=(1,1),(2 t-1,2 t)$ and $(2 t-1,2 t+1)$ for $t \geqslant 2$ show that every value of $k$ except $k=3$ is impossible. For $k=3, z=e^{2 \pi i / 12}$ and $2\left(z-\frac{1}{z}\right)=2 i,(7)$ has the unique solution $u=i=e^{2 \pi i(2 m+1) / 12}$, giving $2 m+1 \equiv 3 \bmod 12, m \equiv 1 \bmod 6$. Then (5) gives $-z^{2 d} \equiv 1,2 d \equiv 6 \bmod 12, d \equiv 3 \bmod 6$.
(b) The case $2 \mid n, 4 \nmid n$. Starting with (5), use Lemma 2.1(b) to replace $z$ by $-z^{2}$, $u$ by $-u^{2}$ and eliminate $z^{2 d}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-z^{2 d}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{u-z-1+\frac{1}{z}}{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{z}-1+z}\right)^{2}=\left(-z^{2}\right)^{2 d}=-\left(\frac{-u^{2}-\left(-z^{2}\right)-1+\frac{1}{-z^{2}}}{\frac{1}{-u^{2}}-\frac{1}{-z^{2}}-1-z^{2}}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearing the denominators gives a plane algebraic curve $f(u, z)=0$, independent of $d$. Since then also $f\left(-u^{2},-z^{2}\right)=0$, the pairs $(u, z)$ of interest lie on both curves. To find all possible ( $u, z$ ) pairs, we use a Maple program [Sm3] which uses a version of the Euclidean algorithm to find all such intersection points, with multiplicities. The program tells us that the only such intersection points with $z$ and $u$ th roots of unity with $2 \mid n, 4 \nmid n$ are the pairs $(u, z)=\left(\alpha^{3}, \alpha\right)$ and $\left(\alpha^{5}, \alpha\right)$, where $\alpha$ is a primitive 30th root of unity. Both points have multiplicity one. Hence $2 m+1=3$ or $5, m=1$ or 2 . [Alternatively, one can of course use the classical resultant method to find $z$, say, and then back-substitute to find the corresponding values of $u$. Doing this, one finds that the cyclotomic factors of this resultant are $C_{30}^{2},(z-1)^{8},(z+1)^{8}$
and $\left(z^{2}+1\right)^{8}$, from which the pairs $(z, u)$ can again be found.] Then, using (5), we find that, when $m=1, u=z^{3}$,

$$
-z^{2 d}=\frac{z^{3}-z-1+1 / z}{z^{-3}-z^{-1}-1+z}=-z^{8}
$$

on routine simplification, using $C_{30}(z)=0$. Again, for $m=2, u=z^{5}$, (4) gives $-z^{2 d}=-z^{8}$ again. Hence $2 d=8 \bmod 30, d=4 \bmod 15$, for $m=1$ or 2 .
(c) The case $n$ odd. In a way similar to the previous case, apply Lemma 2.1(c) to (5), and also replace $z$ by $z^{2}$, to obtain

$$
-\left(-z^{2 d}\right)^{2}=-\left(\frac{u-z-1+\frac{1}{z}}{\frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{z}-1+z}\right)^{2}=-\left(z^{2}\right)^{2 d}=\frac{u^{2}-z^{2}-1+\frac{1}{z^{2}}}{\frac{1}{u^{2}}-\frac{1}{z^{2}}-1+z^{2}}
$$

Clearing denominators this time gives

$$
(u-1)^{2}\left(u z^{2}-1\right)(z-u)(z+1)(z-1)=0 .
$$

Since neither $\pm 1$ is a zero of $P_{d, m}$, we need consider only the subcases where one of the first three factors is 0 :
(i) $u=1$. Here $u=z^{2 m+1}=1, m \equiv \frac{n-1}{2} \bmod n$. Then, from (5), $z^{2 d}=1$, $z^{d}=1$, i.e. $d \equiv 0 \bmod n$.
(ii) $u=z^{-2}, z^{2 m+3}=1, m \equiv \frac{n-3}{2} \bmod n$, and, from (5), $z^{2 d+1}=1, d \equiv$ $\frac{n-1}{2} \bmod n$.
(iii) $u=z, z^{2 m}=1, z^{m}=1, m \equiv 0 \bmod n$, and, from (5), $z^{2 d+1}=1, d \equiv$ $\frac{n-1}{2} \bmod n$.

This completes the proof of Corollary 1.4. Theorem 1.3 now follows readily by collecting together all the cyclotomic factors $C_{n}(z)$ of $P_{d, m}$ for $n$ odd, and noting that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(g_{1}, g_{3}\right)=1$, and $g_{4}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(g_{2}, g_{3}\right)=1$ or 3 .

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

For the proof, we need to find a positive lower bound for $\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right|$. First we show that

Lemma 4.1. The set $\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}$ is non-empty for $5 \leq d \leq B:=7.98 \times 10^{12}$.
Proof. First, direct Maple computation of the set $\mathcal{M}_{d}$ shows that $\mathcal{M}_{d}$, and hence $\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}$ is non-empty for $5 \leq d \leq 2998$. The set $\mathcal{M}_{d}$ is shown for $d \leq 60$ in Table 2 (at the end of this paper). Next, we find, again using Maple, that the primes $m^{\prime} \in\{5,29,53,89,113,173,509,659,743,809,1013,1499\}$ have the property that, for each of these primes $m^{\prime}$, the numbers $2 m^{\prime}+1$ and $2 m^{\prime}+3$ are also both prime. Further, there is no repeated prime in the multiset of all such $m^{\prime}, 2 m^{\prime}+1,2 m^{\prime}+3$ for $m^{\prime}$ in the above set of primes.

Now suppose that $d \geq 2999$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, the polynomials $P_{d, m}$ for fixed $d$ and $1 \leq m \leq 1499=(2999-1) / 2 \leq\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$ all are divisible by the minimal polynomials of distinct Salem numbers. I claim that for $m$ equal to at least one $m^{\prime}$ on the above list, $m^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{d}$, so that $\mathcal{M}_{d}$ and hence $\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}$ is non-empty. For, if not, then, from the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{d}$, either $m^{\prime} \mid 2 d+1$ or $\left(2 m^{\prime}+3\right) \mid 2 d+1$ or
$\left(2 m^{\prime}+1\right) \mid d$, implying that $m^{\prime \prime}:=m^{\prime}$ or $2 m^{\prime}+1$ or $2 m^{\prime}+3$ divides $d(2 d+1)$. But now

$$
\prod m^{\prime \prime} \geq \prod m^{\prime}=4.08 \times 10^{27}>1.27 \times 10^{26}=B(2 B+1) \geq d(2 d+1)
$$

gives a contradiction.
We next find a lower bound for $\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right|$ for large $d$, i.e. for $d>B$. To do this, we apply Lemma 2.3, using the description of the integers $m$ in $\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}$ given by Corollary 1.4.

First consider the case $d \not \equiv 4 \bmod 15$. Take $\mathcal{D}$ to be the set of odd primes dividing $d(2 d+1)$, and $\mathcal{R}_{p}=\left\{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)\right\}$ if $p$ is an odd prime dividing $d$, and $\mathcal{R}_{q}=\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}(q-3)\right\}$ if $q$ is a prime dividing $2 d+1$. Put $r_{p}=\left|\mathcal{R}_{p}\right|$. Then $r_{p}=1$ for $p \mid d, r_{3}=1$ if $3 \mid 2 d+1$; otherwise $r_{q}=2$ if $q \mid 2 d+1, q \neq 3$. Hence, applying Lemma 2.3 with $M=\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant M \prod_{p \mid d_{3}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{q \mid 2 d+1 \\ q \neq 3}}\left(1-\frac{2}{q}\right)-2^{\omega(d)} 3^{\omega(2 d+1)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\omega(r)$ is the number of prime factors of $r$, and $d_{3}=3 d$ if $3 \mid 2 d+1$, while $d_{3}=d$, otherwise.

Similarly, for the case $d \equiv 4 \bmod 15$ we have $2 d+1 \equiv 9 \bmod 15$, so $3 \mid 2 d+1$, but $3 \nmid d, 5 \nmid d, 5 \nmid 2 d+1$. Thus there are seven excluded residue classes $\bmod 15: m \not \equiv$ $0,1,2,3,6,9,12 \bmod 15$, and the lemma gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant M \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{\substack{q \mid 2 d+1 \\ q \neq 3}}\left(1-\frac{2}{q}\right)\left(1-\frac{7}{15}\right)-2^{\omega(d)} 3^{\omega(2 d+1)-1}(1+7) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply Lemma 2.2 to (10) and (11). Thus for $d \not \equiv 4 \bmod 15$, and $3 \nmid 2 d+1$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right| & \geqslant M \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{q \mid 2 d+1}\left(1-\frac{2}{q}\right)-2^{\omega(d)} 3^{\omega(2 d+1)} \\
& >M \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{q \mid 2 d+1}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{2} \prod_{\substack{q \geqslant 5 \\
q \text { prime }}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(q-1)^{2}}\right)-2^{h(d)} 3^{h(2 d+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
>\quad M f(d(2 d+1)) f(2 d+1)\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{2}}\right)^{-1} \times 0.66-2^{h(d)} 3^{h(2 d+1)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\prod_{\substack{q \geqslant 3 \\ q \text { prime }}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(q-1)^{2}}\right)>0.66$. Now if $3 \mid 2 d+1$ we obtain similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right| & \geqslant M\left(1-\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{q \mid 2 d+1}\left(1-\frac{2}{q}\right)-2^{h(d)} 3^{h(2 d+1)} \\
& =2 M f(d(2 d+1)) f(2 d+1) \times 0.66-2^{h(d)} 3^{h(2 d+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is stronger than (12). Hence (12) certainly holds for $d \not \equiv 4 \bmod 15$.

For $d \equiv 4 \bmod 15$, we obtain, from (11), using $3 \mid 2 d+1$ and $5 \nmid 2 d+1$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right| \geqslant & M \cdot \frac{8}{15}\left(1-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid d}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{q \mid 2 d+1}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{2} \\
& \times \prod_{\substack{q \geqslant 3 \\
q \text { prime }}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(q-1)^{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{4^{2}}\right)^{-1}-\frac{8}{3} 2^{h(d)} 3^{h(2 d+1)} \\
> & \frac{384}{225} \times 0.66 M f(d(2 d+1)) f(2 d+1)-\frac{8}{3} 2^{h(d)} 3^{h(2 d+1)} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (12) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right|>c_{1} M f(d(2 d+1)) f(2 d+1)\left(1-\frac{2^{h(d)} 3^{h(2 d+1)}}{c_{2} M f(d(2 d+1)) f(2 d+1)}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}=1.1264, c_{2}=0.4224$ for $d \equiv 4 \bmod 15$, and $c_{1}=c_{2}=0.88$ otherwise. Thus we see that for

$$
\frac{2^{h(d)} 3^{h(2 d+1)}}{\lfloor(d-1) / 2\rfloor f(d(2 d+1)) f(2 d+1)}<0.4224
$$

we have $\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right|>0$. A straightforward Maple calculation shows that this happens for $d \geq B=7.98 \times 10^{12}$.

Finally, from (13) and the definition of $f(d)$ we see that, for large $d$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}^{\prime}\right| & >\left(0.88 \times \frac{1}{2} \times e^{-2 \gamma}-o(1)\right) d /(\log \log d)^{2} \\
& >0.1387 d /(\log \log d)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5. Proof of Corollary 1.2

First, note that, from my tables $[\mathrm{Sm} 1],\left|\mathcal{A}_{d}\right|>0$ for $1 \leqslant d \leqslant 7$. For larger values of $d$, we use the correspondence $\tau+\tau^{-1}+2=\alpha$. This shows that $\mathcal{A}_{d}^{\prime}>0$ for all $d$, and gives the asymptotic lower bound (4).

It remains only to show that if $\tau$ has minimal polynomial $P_{d, m}(z)$, then $\alpha=$ $\tau+\tau^{-1}+2$ has minimal polynomial $Q_{d, m}(y)$ given by (3). Now, using (1), we can write
$R_{d, m}(z)=P_{d, m}(z)(z-1)^{2}=\left(z^{2 d+1}-1\right)(z-1)-z^{2}\left(z^{2(d-m-1)}-1\right)\left(z^{2 m}-1\right)$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{P_{d, m}(z)}{z^{d}} & =\frac{z^{d+1 / 2}-z^{-(d+1 / 2)}}{z^{1 / 2}-z^{-1 / 2}}-\frac{z^{d-m-1}-z^{-(d-m-1)}}{z^{1 / 2}-z^{-1 / 2}} \cdot \frac{z^{m}-z^{-m}}{z^{1 / 2}-z^{-1 / 2}} \\
& =U_{2 d}(x)-U_{2(d-m)-3}(x) \cdot U_{2 m-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x=\sqrt{z}+1 / \sqrt{z}$ and [Robins]

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor}(-1)^{k}\binom{n-k}{k} x^{n-2 k} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $n$th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind, with defining property

$$
U_{n}(t+1 / t)=\frac{t^{n+1}-t^{-(n+1)}}{t-t^{-1}}
$$

Now, for $\alpha=\tau+\tau^{-1}+2$ we have $\sqrt{\alpha}=\sqrt{\tau}+1 / \sqrt{\tau}$, so that $y=\alpha$ is a root of

$$
Q_{d, m}(y)=U_{2 d}(\sqrt{y})-U_{2(d-m)-3}(\sqrt{y}) \cdot U_{2 m-1}(\sqrt{y})
$$

which, using (15), gives (3).

## 6. Tables

Table 1 shows that, for $2 d=8,10,12,14$, there are respectively $1,3,9,39$ elements of $\mathcal{S}_{d}$. It was obtained from the tables in [Sm1], using the transformation $\tau+\tau^{-1}+$ $2=\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is totally positive of degree $d$ and trace $2 d-1$. Several examples of Salem numbers of trace -1 , including the unique degree 8 example, had been found earlier by Boyd (personal communication).

It is interesting to note [ Sm 1$]$ that there are in fact 40 totally positive algebraic integers of degree 7 and trace 13 . All but one of them has exactly one conjugate $>4$, giving the 39 elements of $\mathcal{S}_{7}$ mentioned above. The exception is the number $\alpha$ having minimal polynomial $z^{7}-13 z^{6}+62 z^{5}-135 z^{4}+140 z^{3}-67 z^{2}+14 z-1$, which has two such conjugates. For this $\alpha$, the $\tau$ defined by $\tau+\tau^{-1}+2=\alpha$ has, of course, two conjugates in $(1, \infty)$, so is not a Salem number.

The results of [Sm1], combined with further computation using the same method as in that paper, also show that

Proposition 6.1. For $2 d \leqslant 18$, all Salem numbers of degree $2 d$ have trace at least -1 .

This further computation consisted of an unsuccessful search for totally positive algebraic integers of degree $d=8$ or 9 and trace $\leq 2 d-2$. There are, however, examples of totally positive algebraic integers of large degree $d$ and trace $<2 d-1$ ( $[\mathrm{Sm} 3]$ ). Thus there may well be Salem numbers of large degree and trace $<-1$.

Table 2 shows, for $d \leqslant 60$, the set $\mathcal{M}_{d}$ of those $m$ for which $P_{d, m}$ is irreducible.

Table 1. Minimal polynomials of all Salem numbers of trace -1 and degree $2 d$ up to 14 .

| $\#$ | 2 d | Coefficients of $z^{2 d}, \ldots, z^{d}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -5 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -5 | -9 | -11 |  |  |
| 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 |  |  |
| 4 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -5 |  |  |
| 5 | 12 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -6 | -6 | -3 | -1 |  |
| 6 | 12 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -11 | -14 | -15 |  |
| 7 | 12 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -10 | -11 | -11 |  |
| 8 | 12 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 |  |
| 9 | 12 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -2 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 10 | 12 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -6 | -8 | -9 |  |
| 11 | 12 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -5 | -10 | -14 | -15 |  |
| 12 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -3 |  |
| 13 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -5 | -5 |  |
| 14 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -4 | -15 | -26 | -31 | -29 | -27 |
| 15 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -4 | -16 | -32 | -48 | -59 | -63 |
| 16 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -4 | -17 | -36 | -56 | -70 | -75 |
| 17 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -10 | -15 | -17 | -17 | -17 |
| 18 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -10 | -13 | -8 | 2 | 7 |
| 19 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -11 | -19 | -25 | -28 | -29 |
| 20 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -11 | -18 | -20 | -17 | -15 |
| 21 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -11 | -17 | -16 | -9 | -5 |
| 22 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -12 | -24 | -37 | -47 | -51 |
| 23 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -12 | -23 | -33 | -39 | -41 |
| 24 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -12 | -22 | -29 | -31 | -31 |
| 25 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -13 | -28 | -45 | -58 | -63 |
| 26 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -3 | -13 | -27 | -41 | -50 | -53 |
| 27 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -6 | -7 | -6 | -5 | -5 |
| 28 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -6 | -6 | -2 | 3 | 5 |
| 29 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -11 | -13 | -12 | -11 |
| 30 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -11 | -14 | -16 | -17 |
| 31 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -10 | -9 | -5 | -3 |
| 32 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -10 | -10 | -8 | -7 |
| 33 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -9 | -5 | 3 | 7 |
| 34 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -7 | -9 | -6 | 0 | 3 |
| 35 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -8 | -16 | -25 | -31 | -33 |
| 36 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -8 | -15 | -22 | -27 | -29 |
| 37 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -8 | -14 | -18 | -19 | -19 |
| 38 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -8 | -13 | -14 | -11 | -9 |
| 39 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -9 | -19 | -30 | -38 | -41 |
| 40 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -2 | -9 | -18 | -27 | -33 | -35 |
| 41 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -4 | -5 |
| 42 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -7 | -10 | -11 | -11 |
| 43 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -6 | -6 | -4 | -3 |
| 44 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -6 | -7 | -7 | -7 |
| 45 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -5 | -3 | 1 | 3 |
| 46 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -4 | -5 | -4 | -2 | -1 |
| 47 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -5 | -11 | -18 | -23 | -25 |
| 48 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -5 | -10 | -15 | -18 | -19 |
| 49 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -5 | -9 | -12 | -13 | -13 |
| 50 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -6 | -13 | -21 | -27 | -29 |
| 51 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -3 | -3 |
| 52 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -7 | -7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Values of $m$ for which the polynomial $P_{d, m}$ is irreducible, for $d \leq 60$.
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